Lessons learned from Eurostat's Deduplication Challenge

Yves-Laurent Benichou, Insee Antoine Palazzolo, Insee

Trusted Smart Statistics – Web Intelligence Network Grant Agreement: 101035829





Who are we?



- Yves-Laurent Benichou
 - Senior Data Scientist at Insee
- Team Spub.Fr
 - Collaboration between *Insee* and *Dares*
 - Ranked 5th on accuracy



Antoine Palazzolo

- Data Scientist in the innovation lab of *Insee*
- Member of the WP4 of the WIN
- Team Nins
 - 2nd prize for reproducibility
 - Ranked 4th on accuracy



Web Intelligence Network



Plan of the webinar

• I. The challenge

- Context of the competition
- Presentation of the task

• II. Deduplication techniques

- Data processing
- MinHash-based solution
- Embeddings-based solutions
- Putting it all together

• III. Examples of coding best practices

- The Onyxia Datalab
- The Kedro framework





I. Eurostat's Deduplication Challenge

Deduplication of online job advertisements (OJA)

Web Intelligence



What is the context of this challenge?

• Series of competitions by the *European Statistics Awards Program*

- 2 rounds of nowcasting challenges
- 1 round for web intelligence: the deduplication challenge
- Open to all, with the goal of "unveiling innovative methodologies and valuable data resources that could improve the production of European statistics"
- The deduplication challenge:
 - Started in December 2022
 - Ended in April 2023



What is the goal of this challenge?

- 200 millions job advertisements scraped from the Web and classified since July 2018 for statistical purposes (<u>OJA</u> project)
- However, the same offer can be posted:
 - On different websites (LinkedIn, Indeed, own website, etc.)
 - In different languages or with different phrasings
 - By different companies (ex: recruitments groups)
- Need to **deduplicate** them in order to **publish unbiased statistics**!



What do the job offers look like?

- 112k anonymized online job advertisements (OJA), retrieved from around 400 websites, with:
 - A job title
 - A description of the job
 - A location, extracted automatically from the job description
 - A company name, extracted from the description as well
 - The advertisement retrieval date (by the bots of the WIH)





What kinds of duplicates were to identify?

Full duplicates

• Same job title and description

Semantic duplicates

- Same job position advertised
- Same content in terms of the job characteristics (ex: required skills or education) but expressed differently

Temporal duplicates

· Semantic duplicates with varying advertisement retrieval dates

Partial duplicates

• Describe the same job position but do not contain the same characteristics



Web Intelligence Network



Funded by

the European Union

Now that you know all about the challenge, let's dive in!

- How to approach the issue? What methods exist?
 - Although studied through the prism of job advertisements, what follows can be generalized to broader deduplication problems
- Main difficulties:
 - Multilingual dataset
 - Distinction between the different kinds of duplicates
 - Ex: After what threshold is a semantic duplicate considered as partial?
 - Limited trials to check performances
 - No annotated test set is furnished
 - F1-score chosen as the challenge metric
 - Meaning that both precision and recall need to be optimized





Funded by

the European Union

II. Deduplication techniques

How to identify duplicates in multilingual datasets?

Web Intelligence



Data processing





First off, let's do some cleaning!

• Web scraped data is sometimes messy:

- Remaining HTML tags or special characters such as "\n"
- Trailing or double spaces

• . .

- In NLP, some preprocessing operations are often necessary:
 - Standardization of texts
 - Removing accents and punctuation
 - Lowercase text
 - Lemmatization of texts : breaking down words to their root meanings
 - Went \rightarrow Be / Better \rightarrow Good
 - Removing stop words
 - Ex: the, but, and, ...



Web Intelligence Network



Funded by

the European Union

Regex operations for a first data cleaning

Via regex we can remove:

- All html tags and n, r, \dots
- Special white spaces
- Punctuation
- Extra spaces (possibly created by previous operations)

Depending on the approach, the preprocessing can stop here or include for instance lemmatization and stop words removal if we know the language of the offers.

eol_regex = re.compile(r'\r|\n')
multispace_regex = re.compile(r'\s\s+')
html_regex = re.compile(r'<[^<]+?>')
white_regex = re.compile(r'\xa0')
punctuation_regex = re.compile(r'[^\w\s]')
underscore_regex = re.compile(r'_')



Web Intelligence Network



More uses for Regex

- Identifying and removing scraped advertisements that actually do not refer to a job offer
 - In our dataset, 3198 instances like this one, in different languages:







Data processing: computing more information



- Detect language, with fastText language detection library (here)
 - 2 columns added: "lang" and "score", for the confidence of the language
 - Here low scores or errors do not matter much, as similar advertisements will be detected in the same language anyway, whether it is the right one or not
- Compute lengths of job titles and descriptions
 - Also compute their **MinHashes**, see later

Named Entity Recognition (NER)

- Using HuggingFace models specialized in multilingual datasets such as Davlan/distilbert-base-multilingual-cased-ner-hrl
- Focus on ORG and LOC objects to try and detect company names and locations within the job titles and descriptions



Data processing: always possible to go one step further



• Filtering out the most frequent words that appear per language

- They will likely not bring any meaningful information
- Ex: job, application, profile, etc.

• Filtering out poorly described offers

- Some descriptions are generic per company and do not necessarily refer to the same offers and thus may need to be treated differently
- Some offers that contain too few non-empty fields may need special treatment
- Creating splits of the advertisements' contents for faster processing
 - **Multiprocessing** is already key in all of our operations because of the volume of the data



Web Intelligence



Funded by

the European Union

Identifying duplicates





Finding duplicates: starting intuitively



- Before jumping into big models, why not start the easy way?
 - Allows to at least spell the situation out without too much computing
- Try and find matches based on subsets of fields:
 - All columns for full duplicates
 - For other kinds of duplicates:
 - Title & description
 - Title, company name & location
 - Company name, location & retrieval date for companies known to be international from the available data (catches many multilingual duplicates)
 - ORG and LOC obtained from NER computation earlier
- The obtained pairs can then be re-checked later to avoid mistakes







Funded by

the European Union

Finding duplicates: starting intuitively



- Once exact matches are found on subsets of fields, can we look for close matches?
 - If two offers are exactly the same besides 1 character, can we pair them?
- In order to do that, we need to measure *distances* between offers
 - How to measure similarity between two texts?
 - Once distances are computed, we can also catch pairs of offers whose similarity is above a given threshold, with possible extra filters, such as limiting the difference of days between the retrieval dates of the offers
- We used two metrics:
 - The Jaccard distance & the Jaro-Winkler similarity



Finding duplicates: the Jaccard distance



- Out of all the words present in the union of two texts, how many are also included in their intersection?
 - How close are the vocabularies of the two texts we compare?
- Several limitations: word frequencies not included, very sensitive to synonyms or to multiple languages

 $J(doc_1, doc_2) = \frac{\{'data', 'is', 'the', 'new', 'oil', 'of', 'digital', 'economy'\} \bigcap \{'data', 'is', 'a', 'new', 'oil'\}}{\{'data', 'is', 'the', 'new', 'oil', 'of', 'digital', 'economy'\} \bigcup \{'data', 'is', 'a', 'new', 'oil'\}}$

= {'data', 'is', 'new', 'oil'} {'data', 'a', 'of', 'is', 'economy', 'the', 'new', 'digital', 'oil'}

$$=\frac{4}{9} = 0.444$$





Funded by

the European Union

Finding duplicates: bringing out the big guns



- Intuitive approaches are generally not enough, so we offer two other main categories of solutions.
- MinHash-based solutions
 - Fast to implement, very efficient on 'big' datasets, but will not help finding multilingual pairs
 - Global idea: check similarity on shingles of characters (words, ngrams of words & characters)
 - For this specific use case, we use shingles of 4 characters
 - Record Linkage Toolkit to put it all together
- Embedding-based solutions
 - Different models can be used to produce embeddings of the advertisements as vectors: **TF-IDF**, **Transformers** or other pre-trained models
 - We can then compare the embeddings through cosine similarity



MinHash algorithm

More about MinHash <u>here</u>





The MinHash algorithm



- MinHash is a probabilistic algorithm used to estimate similarity between two sets
 - Invented by <u>Andrei Broder</u> (1997) and initially used in the <u>AltaVista</u> search engine to detect duplicate web pages and eliminate them from search results (more <u>here</u>)
- It works by representing a set of data as a 'signature'.
 - The signature is a hash value that capture the properties of the data set
- We apply several non-cryptographic hash functions and select the minimum hash value for that set
- The probability of two sets having the same signature is proportional to their Jaccard similarity
- MinHash is an efficient and robust technique that is well-suited to large and high dimensional data sets
 - Common Issue : high estimation error when set sizes differ by a lot







Funded by

the European Union

The MinHash algorithm: Zoom





The MinHash algorithm: in Python

- To compute MinHashes on titles and descriptions, we use the <u>datasketch minhash library</u>
- We choose Murmur3 hash function from the mmh3 lib





The Record Linkage toolkit



- An open-source Python library for linking records in data sources, available <u>here</u>
- Offers a range of methods for linking records, including deterministic matching, probabilistic matching, and machine learning-based approaches
- We also integrate a vectorized function to compute Jaccard distance on MinHashes



The MinHash algorithm: putting it all together!



- For deduplication tasks such as ours, we use Record Linkage between the dataset and itself
- Record Linkage first computes 'all' candidate pairs, but without any filter on the features it would represent more than 12 000 000 000 pairs here!!
 - So we decide to filter on the detected language
 - We also cut the main dataset into 5 subsets, based on the description lengths ({]0, 700], [400,1300], [1000,2000], [1700, 3000], [2600, +[})
- Record Linkage will then compute:
 - The MinHash similarity for job titles and descriptions
 - Jaro-Winkler distance on company names and locations
 - Of course exact matches on all fields



Web Intelligence Network



Embedding-based approaches





What makes the task difficult?

- Identifying job offers looking similarly is one thing, but how about:
 - Synonyms and different formulations
 - Advertisements available in several languages
 - Partial duplicates with very different description lengths
- We need to represent the offers based on the **meaning of their content**, not based on their form
- We want to pair duplicates based on the **content that discriminates them from other advertisements**, such as characteristic words
- A solution: representing texts with vectors instead!







Funded by

the European Union



TF-IDF is a measure of originality of a word by comparing the number of times a word appears in a doc with the number of docs the word appears in. $TF-IDF = TF(t, d) \times IDF(t)$ Term frequency inverse Number of times term t appears in a doc, d $\log \frac{1 + ne^{documents}}{1 + df(d, t)r}$ Term Frequency Inverse document Document frequency of the term t Source





TF-IDF as one way to tokenize the data

- Each dimension of the embedding corresponds to a word
 - For a given job offer, the value for dimension j will be the TF-IDF score of the word j in the advertisement
- Embeddings of very high (too high) dimension: we need to **manually reduce the dimension** without losing too much information, through:
 - Truncated singular value decomposition (truncated SVD)
 - Or Principal Components Analysis (PCA)
- Another (efficient) way to compare ads similarities numerically, but:
 - Still sensitive to synonyms or different languages
 - Does not rely on words meanings



Meaning-based embeddings





Meaning-based embeddings

- Using pre-trained (on multilingual corpus) models to embed our job advertisements
 - Retraining or finetuning big models on our own data was too costly in view of the possible gains, but it may be an idea
- The goal is to embed our offers in a high-dimensional space (ex: 100) where the vectors are as close as the contents' meanings are similar
 - The better the model is, the more relevant the embeddings will be
- Trade-off to find for the dimension of the embeddings



What models are we talking about?

- **Transformers** pre-trained on multilingual data:
 - Multilingual BERT
 - XLM RoBERTa
 - Distiluse base multilingual (from sentence-transformers)
- The last one was the preferred option: **faster** and **lighter**
 - In our case it also happened to lead to better results
- Some even bigger models could be more relevant (ex: LLMs)
- Many resources on Transformers are available online
 - Example of a great explicative video here



Pros and cons of transformers' embeddings

Advantages:

- The words are not analyzed independently, but the job advertisement is considered as a whole, context is taken into account
- The embedding is resistant to paraphrasis as the meaning is caught

Disadvantages:

- If the texts are too long, all the information may not be picked up by the embedding
- Not very sensitive to differences between named entities, while this kind of information is crucial for our use case
- As our corpus is quite specific (to the corporate lexical field), a specialization of the models can be useful for more relevant embedding spaces



What do we do now with those embeddings?

- Cosine similarity as the similarity measure between two embeddings
 - It is the cosine of the angle between the two vectors
 - If the cosine similarity between two job offers exceeds a **given threshold** (ex: 0.99), we will consider than they are duplicates candidates
- 112k jobs offers leads to 112k*112k computations, which is A LOT
 - We thus **limit transformers approaches to** *international companies*, i.e. known to publish offers in several countries or several languages
 - We do it by **chunks** to compute smaller **cosine similarity matrixes** and save storage space

Funded by

the European Union

- We then **parallelize the comparisons** per chunk and save processing time
- This method leads to a high recall, but may lead to poor precision



Web Intelligence

Network

Deduplication: putting it all together!





Additional conditions to be considered as duplicates

- Previous approaches can lead to (too) **many eligible pairs**. Extra filters may be necessary to:
 - Limit ourselves to the actual duplicates
 - Distinguish between the different types: semantic, partial, temporal

Possible filters:

- Duration between the retrieval dates
- Differences in lengths descriptions
- Differences in the country ID
- Levenshtein (or Jaro-Winkler) distance between company names or locations
- Comparison between named entities extracted from NER



Is the relation "A is duplicate of B" transitive?

- If A and B are semantic duplicates:
 - All semantic duplicates of A are supposed to be semantic duplicates of B
- If A and B are partial duplicates:
 - All semantic duplicates of A are supposed to be partial duplicates of B
- We can represent our job offers with a **non-oriented graph**:
 - Each advertisement is a node
 - An edge represents the "is semantic duplicate" relation
- If our relation is transitive (as it should), all connected components obtained before can be converted into cliques
 - This should improve our recall even more



Is the relation "A is duplicate of B" transitive?

- In practice,
 - Using the **semantic transitivity property** led to <u>better results</u>
 - Using the **transitivity on partial duplicates** led to <u>worse results</u>, as our precision on this kind of duplicates was already poor, and decreased even more when adding more edges within our connected components
- In conclusion, relying on transitivity is efficient when the precision is high and the recall improvable
 - We thus raised our similarity thresholds based on that for our previous approaches



Specificities and limits of the approach

- Set of stackable approaches, more or less simple but overall fast to execute, in order to identify eligible pairs
 - Followed by **additional layers to discard false positives** and distinguish the types of duplicates
- How could we improve the results?
 - If more time and resources available, **reduce the optimizations and proxies** in order to capture more duplicates
 - Finetune the transformers based on our corpus
 - Spend more time to **finetune the parameters** of the different models, for instance with a **grid search**



III. Examples of coding best practices

Ideas to achieve reproducibility





The Onyxia Datalab





🚸 Onyxia



- 2. **Computational Capacity**: Physical limitations on RAM/GPU in a single machine.
- 3. **Scalability**: Traditional computers lack the ability to scale on demand.
- 4. **Reproducibility**: Challenges in transitioning from experimentation to production due to difficulty in replicating unique software environments for accurate results.
- 5. Administrative Restrictions: Security measures restrict software installations, causing delays and additional administrative tasks.
- 6. Data Security: Downloading sensitive data on internet-exposed machines can spread and risk the data.







- 1. **Pooling Resources**: Cloud-based data platforms allow data scientists to access and release vast resources on-demand.
- 2. **Cost and Capacity Efficiency**: Shared resources lead to cost savings and access to levels of power unthinkable with personal workstations.
- 3. Horizontal Scalability: Additional processes can be initiated on-demand, without halting current operations.
- 4. **Containerization with Kubernetes**: Docker-based environments allow for quick, easy, and replicable setup and teardown of working environments.
- 5. **Enhanced Data Security**: Sensitive data can be processed in a secure environment, isolated from direct internet exposure.







Funded by

the European Union



The state of the art in data science technologies

The ideal technology stack for a data scientist







Bridging Technological Complexity: The Role of Onyxia

Democratizing Technology: How Onyxia Enables Non-IT Experts to Seamlessly Operate Advanced Tech Stacks





[n]

(8)

ᅷ

88



Welcome Antoine!

Work with Python or R, enjoy all the computing power you need!

My Services

My account

Project settings

Service catalog

ብ My Secrets

My Files

Data Explorer

An ergonomic environment and on-demand services

Analyze data, perform distributed computing and take advantage of a large catalog of services. Reserve the computing power you need.

Consult the catalog

2017 - 2024 Onyxia 🛛 💭 Contribute



An active and enthusiastic community at your service

Use and share the resources available to you: tutorials, training and exchange channels.







😚 Tutorials

Logout

To easily access your data and those made available to you from your programs - S3 API implementation

Consult the data

S English Terms of service v8.16.7 🧔

Link here



The *Kedro* framework







Kedro

An open-source Python toolbox that applies software engineering principles to data science code, making it easier to transition from prototype to production.

FOUNDED IN

2017

STATUS

INCUBATION PROJECT

Benefits

Reduces the time spent rewriting data science experiments so that they are fit for production.

Encourage harmonious team collaboration and improve productivity.

Upskills all collaborators on how to apply software engineering principles to data science code.



+467,000

+15,000,000

PIPELINE RUNS IN 2022



Web Intelligence Network



What is Kedro?

• Essentially, a way to structure code to optimize data science projects

- Each function is a **node**
- Nodes and objects articulate themselves through pipelines
- Huge help to have reproducible, maintainable and modular code

Several useful features

- Pipeline visualization (demo here)
- Data catalog
- Various integrations



Pipeline visualization with Kedro







Other possible frameworks to use

- In Python:
 - Snakemake
 - Luigi
 - Metaflow
 - Ploomber
 - ...
- In R:
 - Targets





Why using *git*?



Essential part for reproducibility: git

• A distributed version control system

- Store, archive and share code
- Work more efficiently within teams or with your future self
- Github as a platform to share open source code
 - Team Spub.Fr repository available <u>here</u>
 - Team Nins' repository available <u>here</u>
 - Team Nins' reproducibility approach description available here
 - Other winners' repos and solutions available <u>here</u> (and congrats to them!)



Thank you for your attention!

Are there any questions?

Web Intelligence

